| 1  |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  |   |  |
| 4  |   |  |
| 5  | UNITED STATES D   | ISTRICT COURT  |
| 6  | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA  |  |
| 7  | ATTAC   | OWA  |
| 8  | GREY MATTER MEDICAL   |  |
| 9  | PRODUCTS, LLC,  | CASE NO. C13-5861 BHS                                |
| 10 | Plaintiff,  | ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S<br>AND DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS |
| 11 | V.  | TO COMPEL  |
| 12 | SCHREINER GROUP LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, et al.,  |  |
| 13 | Defendants.   |  |
| 14 |   |  |
| 15 | This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Schreiner Group GMBH & Co            |  |
| 16 | KG and Schreiner Group Limited Partnership's ("Schreiner") motion to compel (Dkt. 36) |  |
| 17 | and Plaintiff Grey Matter Medical Products, LLC's ("Grey Matter") motion to compel    |  |
| 18 | (Dkt. 37).  |  |
| 19 | On September 8, 2014, the parties filed the instant motions requesting that the       |  |
| 20 | Court order the opposing parties to produce certain information. According to the     |  |
| 21 | response and reply briefs, the majority of the issues have been resolved and only two |  |
| 22 | issues remain. First, in its reply, Grey Matter                                       | requests additional discovery based on the           |

| 1  | subsequent material produced by Schreiner. Dkt. 47 at 6. Schreiner filed a surreply  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | requesting that the Court strike the improperly presented request because it is additional   |  |
| 3  | discovery beyond the scope of the original motion. Dkt. 51. The Court agrees that the  |  |
| 4  | request is improperly presented in a reply brief, and, in any event, Grey Matter has failed  |  |
| 5  | to show that the additional information has properly been requested from and denied by   |  |
| 6  | Schreiner. Based on the briefs, the information seems relevant and the Court encourages  |  |
| 7  | the parties to work together to obtain the information and limited additional deposition   |  |
| 8  | without further requests of the Court. Therefore, the Court <b>DENIES</b> Grey Matter's  |  |
| 9  | motion.  |  |
| 10 | Second, Schreiner requests that the Court award it \$1,249.40 in expenses. Dkt. 45   |  |
| 11 | at 6. Schreiner requests this amount based on the expenses it incurred in its unsuccessful   |  |
| 12 | attempts to serve Grey Matter's fact witness, Christopher Buck. <i>Id.</i> The Court declines  |  |
| 13 | to enter such an award. Therefore, the Court <b>DENIES</b> Schreiner's motion.   |  |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 15 | Dated this 21st day of October, 2014.  |  |
| 16 | $\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega$ |  |
| 17 | BENJAMIN H. SETTLE   |  |
| 18 | United States District Judge   |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |